

QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL
SM 6020B 20th - 21st Editions

Facility Name: _____ LAB ID: _____

Assessor Name: _____ Analyst Name: _____ Inspection Date: _____

| Relevant Aspect of Standards | Method Reference | Y | N | N/A | Comments |
|--|------------------|---|---|-----|----------|
| Calibration | | | | | |
| (1) Did the initial calibration include at least 5 non-zero standards including one standard at or below the MRL? | SM6020.B.1a | | | | |
| (2) Was the concentration of the lowest standard at the reporting level? | SM6020.B.1a | | | | |
| (3) Were calibration concentrations chosen with no more than one order of magnitude between concentrations? | SM6020.B.1a | | | | |
| (4) If response factors or calibration factors were used, was the relative standard deviation for each analyte $\leq 20\%$? | SM6020.B.1a | | | | |
| (5) If linear regression was used, was the correlation coefficient >0.995 ? | SM6020.B.1a | | | | |
| (6) Was each calibration point recalculated and compared to the curve? | SM6020.B.1a | | | | |
| (7) Were the recalculated values verified to be within $\pm 20\%$? | SM6020.B.1a | | | | |
| (8) Was continuing calibration verification performed after every 10 samples for GC analysis, after every 20 samples for GC/MS analysis, or every 12 hours, whichever was more frequent? | SM6020.B.1b | | | | |
| (9) Did the continuing calibration verifications meet acceptance criteria of $\pm 20\%$ of the known or expected value of the calibration standard? | SM6020.B.1b | | | | |
| (10) Was each analytical batch finished with a laboratory fortified blank (LFB) or a closing standard to demonstrate that performance was still acceptable for the last sample? | SM6020.B.1b | | | | |

Comments/Notes:

QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL
SM 6020B 20th-21st Editions

| Relevant Aspect of Standards | Method Reference | Y | N | N/A | Comments |
|--|------------------|---|---|-----|----------|
| Initial Quality Control | | | | | |
| (11) Prior to the analysis of any sample, was an initial demonstration of capability—consisting of a laboratory reagent blank (LRB) and a minimum of four LFBs at a concentration between 5 x MRL and the midpoint of the calibration curve performed? | SM6020.B.2.a | | | | |
| (12) Prior to the analysis of any sample, was the Method Detection Limit (MDL) determined as described in Section 1030C or other specified procedure? | SM6020.B.2.b | | | | |
| (13) Were the MDL samples analyzed over a 3 to 5 day period? | SM6020.B.2.b | | | | |
| (14) Did the MDL determinations include all applicable sample preparatory techniques? | SM6020.B.2.b | | | | |
| (15) Was the MDL determined at least annually? | SM6020.B.2.b | | | | |
| (16) Was the Minimum Qualintitation Level (MQL) defined as 4 x MDL? | SM6020.B.2.c | | | | |
| (17) When compounds of interest were detected at levels below the MQL were results <[MQL]? | SM6020.B.2.c | | | | |
| (18) When compounds of interest were observed at levels below the MDL, were results reported as ND (not detected)? | SM6020.B.2.c | | | | |
| (19) Were sample sets or batches defined as the number of samples extracted in a single day, not exceeding 20 samples per set? | SM6020.B.2.e | | | | |
| (20) Was an analytical day defined as a 12-hour analytical period? | SM6020.B.2.f | | | | |
| Batch Quality Control | | | | | |
| (21) Was a minimum of 1 method blank, carried throughout the entire preparatory and analytical procedure, analyzed with each sample batch? | SM6020.B.3.a | | | | |

Comments/Notes:

QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL
SM 6020B 20th-21st Editions

| Relevant Aspect of Standards | Method Reference | Y | N | N/A | Comments |
|--|------------------|---|---|-----|----------|
| (22) Were no analytes of interest present in the method blank at levels greater than one fourth the MQL? | SM6020.B.3.a | | | | |
| (23) Was at least one LFB, spiked at a concentration at least 5 x MQL or at the mid-point of the curve, analyzed with each sample batch? | SM6020.B.3.b | | | | |
| (24) Did the LFB meet the acceptance criteria stated in the method? | SM6020.B.3.b | | | | |
| (25) Were control charts plotted and recovery limits for the LFB calculated as described in SM 1020B? | SM6020.B.3.b | | | | |
| (26) Was an internal standard used to monitor retention time, relative response, and quantity of analytes in each sample? | SM6020.B.3.c | | | | |
| (27) Was the internal standard added to each standard and sample/extract just before sample analysis? | SM6020.B.3.c | | | | |
| (28) Was internal standard response within $\pm 30\%$ compared to calibration curve response? | SM6020.B.3.c | | | | |
| (29) Was a surrogate standard added to each sample and method blank prior to sample preparation/analysis per the method ? | SM6020.B.3.d | | | | |
| (30) Was an externally generated quality control sample (QCS) analyzed at least quarterly or whenever new stock solutions were prepared? | SM6020.B.3.e | | | | |
| (31) Was at least one Laboratory-Fortified Sample (LFS) analyzed with each sample set? | SM6020.B.3.f | | | | |
| (32) Was the LFS fortified at a concentration at least 5 times the MQL? | SM6020.B.3.f | | | | |
| (33) Was at least one duplicate LFS (LFSD) analyzed with each sample set? | SM6020.B.3.g | | | | |
| (34) If the sample volume collect was not sufficient to analyze LFS/LFSD, was a second sample LFS analyzed? | SM6020.B.3.g | | | | |
| (35) Were sample batch acceptance results based on LFBs rather than LFSs because sample matrices may interfere with method performance? | SM6020.B.3.f | | | | |

Comments/Notes: